

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/719,400	11/21/2003	Charles Christopher Thorpe	3000177 / 703454-2001	2557
759	7590 05/18/2006		EXAMINER	
Bingham McC	utchen LLP		VAN, QI	JANG T
Suite 1800				
Three Embarcadero Center			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
San Francisco, CA 94111-4067			3742	

DATE MAILED: 05/18/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			$\epsilon$
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/719,400	THORPE ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Quang T. Van	3742	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this com D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	·
Status			
<ol> <li>Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 M</li> <li>This action is FINAL.</li> <li>Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under M</li> </ol>	s action is non-final.  nce except for formal matters, pro		merits is
Disposition of Claims	•		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-3,6-22,24-26,29,31-58,61,62,75,76 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-3,6-22,24-26,29,31-58,61,62,75,76 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or are subject to restriction and/or are subject to by the Examine 10) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 11 January 2005 is/are Applicant may not request that any objection to the	wn from consideration.  6,79,80,83,84 and 87-99 is/are rejute  or election requirement.  er.  er.  a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected	ected. I to by the Examine	•
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			· · · <del> ·</del>
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicationity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National S	Stage
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate	152)

Application/Control Number: 10/719,400 Page 2

Art Unit: 3742

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-2, 6, 7-13, 14-15, 18-19, 24-26, 29, 31-38, 39-42, 45-47, 50, 53, 55-58, 61-62, 79-80, 83-84, 87-88, 89-99 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Levinson (US 4,923,704) in view of Wang et al (US 6,463,844) both cited in previous action. Levinson discloses, figure 7, a microwave cooking in a chamber kit comprising a microwaveable housing having a lower housing section (14) and an upper housing section (12); each housing section (12,14) defining an interior space, the upper housing section (12) being placed on top of the lower housing section (14) to close the microwaveable housing; and a grill (46) positioned within said lower housing section (14) and suspended above a bottom interior surface (41) of said lower housing section (14) said grill (46) defining a plurality of apertures (col. 11, lines 24-27) and having a surface that includes a metalized susceptor material (col.5, lines 6-10) for grilling the food item, wherein said lower housing section (14) and said grill (46) are structurally configured so that steam generated by heating positioned on said bottom surface of said lower housing section (14) below said grill (46) passes upwardly from said interior space of said lower housing section (14), through said grill apertures, onto at least a bottom surface of the food item, and into said interior space of said upper

Art Unit: 3742

housing section (col. 11, lines 23-44). However, Levinson does not disclose a gelatinous ingredient for said food item positioned in the lower housing section, wherein said gelatinous ingredient is not extracted from the food item. Wang discloses a gelatinous ingredient (21) for said food item positioned in the lower housing section (14), wherein said gelatinous ingredient is not extracted from the food item (col. 11. lines 32-37). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize in Levinson a gelatinous ingredient for said food item, wherein said gelatinous ingredient is not extracted from the food item as taught by Wang in order to add flavor to the cooking item when cooking. With regard to claims 6-14, 31-38, a solid, semi-solid gelatinous ingredient or flavoring material is considered material or article worked upon by apparatus. "Expressions relating the apparatus to contents thereof during an intended operation are no significance in determining patentability of the apparatus claim". Ex parte Thibault, 164 USPQ 666, 667 (Bd. App. 1969). Furthermore, "Inclusion of material or article worked upon by a structure being claimed does not impart patentability to the claims". In re Young, 25 USPQ 69 (CCPA 1935) (as restated in *In re Otto*, 136 USPQ 458, 459 (CCPA 1963). In this case, a solid, semi-solid gelatinous ingredient or flavoring material is considered material or article worked upon which does not limit apparatus claims, therefore no patent weight is given to these claims.

Page 3

3. Claims 3, 20-22, 43-44, 54 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Levinson (US 4,923,704) in view of Wang et al (US 6,463,844) both cited in previous action, and further in view of Koochaki (US 6,229,131).

Art Unit: 3742

Levinson/Wang disclose substantially all features of the claimed invention except a housing including a vent. Koochaki discloses a microwave-cooking grill (100) having a housing including a vent (186). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize in Levinson/Wang a housing including a vent as taught by Koochaki in order to release the steam from the cooking housing.

Page 4

- 4. Claims 16-17, 51-52 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Levinson (US 4,923,704) in view of Wang et al (US 6,463,844) both cited in previous action, and further in view of Barnes (US 6,608,292). Levinson/Wang disclose substantially all features of the claimed invention except a connector that couples said lower and upper microwave housing sections. Barnes discloses a connector (212) that couples said lower (104) and upper microwave housing sections (102). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize in Levinson/Wang a connector that couples said lower and upper microwave housing sections as taught by Barnes in order to connect the upper and the lower housing section together.
- 5. Claims 48-49 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Levinson (US 4,923,704) in view of Wang et al (US 6,463,844) both cited in previous action, and further in view of Craft (US 6,018,157). Levinson/Wang discloses substantially all features of the claimed invention except an inert gas being added into said microwaveable housing. Craft discloses an inert gas being added into said microwaveable housing (col. 4, lines 10-18). It would have been obvious to one having

Art Unit: 3742

ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize in Levinson/Wang an inert gas being added into said microwaveable housing as taught by Craft in order to repeated cooking cycles without requiring replacement and without significant degradation of the microwave grill.

Page 5

6. Claims 75-76 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Levinson (US 4,923,704) in view of Wang et al (US 6,463,844) both cited in previous action, and further in view of Thompson (US 3,669,688). Levinson/Wang disclose substantially all features of the claimed invention except the gelatinous ingredient including a corn syrup ingredient and an agar ingredient. Thompson discloses gelatinous ingredient including a corn syrup ingredient and an agar ingredient (col. 1, lines 58-72 and col. 2, lines 1-20). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize in Levinson/Wang gelatinous ingredient including a corn syrup ingredient and an agar ingredient as taught by Thompson in order to add flavor to the cooking item.

## Response to Amendment

- 7. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-3, 6-22, 24-26, 29, 31-58, 61-62, 75-76, 79-80, 83-84, 87-99 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.
- 8. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP

Art Unit: 3742

§ 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Quang T. Van whose telephone number is 571-272-4789. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00Am 7:00Pm M-Th.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robin Evans can be reached on 571-272-4777. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3742

7/719,400 Page 7

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

\_ .... (.... , ...

QV

May 11, 2006

Quang T Van

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3742